Many expect mobile computing to become an integral part of higher education...

"Universities should consider treating mobile practices similar to the Internet in terms of importance, resources, and development."

...but many colleges and universities are watching and waiting.

"Making mobile apps a priority in terms of cost and time is not core, in my opinion. We just have to wait for the market or institutional collaboration to mature."

For those that are working on mobile initiatives, students are the focus.

"[The killer mobile app would be] any that helps students with learning and support services."

Priority and level of enablement for student/public services

A lot, almost all, or all of mobile demand being met for three constituencies

Institutions that agree they are prepared to meet expected mobile demands for the 2011–2012 academic year in these areas:

Research
Administration
Instruction
General communications

IT services and support
Library catalog and other library services
Administrative services for student information
Learning/course management services
Student recruitment and admissions
Primary web presence

Higher education supports the idea of collaborations, but most want to wait until they are mainstream.

"We would be more likely to join such a collaboration when the issue becomes more of a university priority and would more likely join on the back end of such initiatives, when the framework and development are in place and successful."

Respondents that agree or strongly agree with statements about cross-institutional collaboration on IT solutions and services:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>are personally in favor of collaborations</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>believe that they would be a successful model for higher-education’s mobility efforts</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>think collaboratives have the potential to save higher education significant sums of money</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>might consider functional compromises to realize those savings</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>